

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,793	07/14/2003	Joseph G. Schroeder	11694/04173	7881	
27483	7590 01/10/2005		EXAMINER		
CALFEE, HALTER & GRISWOLD, LLP 800 SUPERIOR AVENUE SUITE 1400 CLEVELAND, OH 44114			HWU, D	HWU, DAVIS D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3752		
			DATE MAILED: 01/10/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Su.				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/619,793	SCHROEDER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Davis D. Hwu	3752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ja</u>	anuary 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
	·/=					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 72-81 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,73-76,78 and 81 is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) 72,77,79 and 80 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been recei nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation Noved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)		DAVIS HWU				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>May 12, 2004</u>. 	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20041217				

PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Application/Control Number: 10/619,793

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 73, and 74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jeffries et al.

Jeffries et al. show an apparatus to apply coating material to an object, the apparatus comprising a spray gun having a handle portion 200, an extension portion 202 which is connected with the handle portion, a nozzle 204 connected with the extension portion, and a membrane switch 254 manually activated to initiate a control function.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 75, 76, and 78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jeffries et al. in view of Benedek et al.

 Jeffries et al. discloses the instant invention including at least one switch element 258 and at least one set of switch contacts 222 and 224 as recited in claims 75 and 76.

 Benedek et al. teaches an electrostatic coating device in which the device is insulated in

Application/Control Number: 10/619,793

Art Unit: 3752

order to make the device sparkproof and accident proof. It would have been obvious to on having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Jeffries et al. by providing layers of electrically insulating material as taught by Benedek et al. to make the device sparkproof and accident proof. Regarding the incorporation of a second layer of insulating material, it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

5. Claim 81 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jeffries et al.

It has been held that a mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art when there is no disclosure as to the criticality of having a second membrane switch.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 72, 77, 79, and 80 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Davis D. Hwu whose telephone number is 571-272-4904. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on 571-272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,793 Page 4

Art Unit: 3752

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Davis Hwu

DAVIS HWU PIMARY EXAMINER